CRITICAL DISQUISITIONS

ON

THE EIGHTEENTH CHAPTER

OF

ISAJAH.

IN

A LETTER

TO EDWARD KING, ESQ. F.R.S. A.S.

BY

SAMUEL LORD BISHOP OF ROCHESTER, F. R. S. A. S.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY J. NICHOLS; FOR J. ROBSON, BOND-STREET.

M.DCC.XCIX.

CRITICAL DESQUISITIONS

MO

THE EIGHTRENTH CHAPTER

10

。既及正及德王

/I

ALETTER

TO EDWARD KING, ESO. 17.8.5. A.S.

TH

SAMUEL LORD BISHOP OF ROCHESTER,

1.2.2.2.1.3.

reduction.

CHORY A YEAR ART MARK

FOR I ROBSON, BONESIR

KIDK: DOU.M

protestes, that he Manufated purely and landfalls ruch

forcessing interpreters," who had emphased the

ADVERTISEMENT.

ander the direction of Archielhop Cranmer, and printed

scriptures, " not only line Latin, but also into Datich

THE old English Bibles, occasionally cited in the following pages, are Coverdale's, the Great English Bible, the Bishop's Bible, and the English Geneva Bible.

Coverdale's translation was printed in folio, in the year 1535; and made its public appearance in the fummer of the following year. It was the work of the pious and learned divine, whose name it bears, Myles Coverdale, afterwards Lord Bishop of Exeter. Of all our authorised translations it has the least pretensions to accuracy. By the author's own account of it, he relied more upon earlier translations, than upon any examination, of his own, of the original texts. For he are professes.

professes, that he "translated purely and faithfully out "of foregoing interpreters," who had translated the Scriptures, "not only into Latin, but also into Dutch."

The Great English Bible is the translation made under the direction of Archbishop Cranmer, and printed in large folio in 1539. A noble work, well corresponding with its title: "The Byble in Englyshe, that is to say, the content of all the holy scripture both of the olde and newe testament, truly translated after the veryte of the Hebrue and Greke textes, by the dylygent studye of dyverse excellent learned men, expert in the forsayde tonges."

The Bishop's Bible is the revised and amended edition of Cranmer's, made by the most eminent divines and scholars of the times, under the direction of Archbishop Parker, and splendidly published in solio in 1568.

The English Geneva Bible is the translation made by the English Protestants, in exile at Geneva, in the reign of Philip and Mary. It was first printed at Geneva in 1560; and between that time and the year 1616, it underwent above thirty editions, at London, in different sizes. It was the common family Bible in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, and is often cited by the title of Queen Elizabeth's Bible.

The editions that have been used, upon the present occasion, have been, of Coverdale's and the Great Bible, the original editions in the Archiepiscopal Library at Lambeth.

Of the Bishop's Bible, the original edition in the Library of the Collegiate Church of Westminster.

Of the English Geneva, the 4to's of 1589 and 1599, both in the possession of the author.

The Braish Greek Breis is the tradition made by the English Protestants, in calle at Care the reign of Philip and Mary, the wasterboround at the reign of Philip and Mary, the wasterboround at Geneva in 1560; and between that time and the vent acts, it underwent above there editions, as he common family Bills in the reign of O seen Edzabeth, and is often cited the title of Queen Edzabeth, and is often cited the the title of Queen Edzabeth's Bible.

The editions that have been used, upon the present occasion, have been, of Coverdaie's and the Great Bible, the original editions in the Archiepiscopal Library at Lambeth.

Of the Biffsep's Bible. the original edition is the Allegans and the Calculate Charges of the Collegans Charges of the Collegans Charges of the Collegans and the Collegans of t

(Af the Amgilla Ceanera, the least of case one Foot, beith in the positedays or at these ou jevennent tiel i ene at betreinmenen ing fuit i durever un

I mean, for there was nothing at that time to brine

into difficultion before the Publick and, after muse

deliberation with anytelf. I thought it better avoids:

he Prophery they agree with the interprete

TO EDWARD KING, ESQUIRE.

conceiving, that you might to confider the fishious.

DEAR SIR,

Considerable portions of my time, for some years past, have been employed in the study, of all studies the most interesting, of the Prophetic parts of the Holy Scriptures: and among the rest, the prophecies of Isaiah, have deeply engaged my attention. But it was a conversation with you, in the early part of last spring, that put me, at that time, upon a more minute examination, than I had ever made before, of the XVIIIth Chapter of that Prophet. The conclusions, to which I found myself inevitably brought, differ, in some very important points, though concerning the general scope of

the Prophecy they agree, with the interpretation which you communicated to me. I felt however no inclination to agitate the question (even with yourself I mean, for there was nothing at that time to bring into discussion before the Public), and, after much deliberation with myfelf, I thought it better avoided; knowing, that your opinions are not rashly taken up; conceiving, that you might re-consider the subject; and perfwaded, that a Man of your Learning and upright intention is more likely to fet himself right, by his own meditation of an abstruse question, than to be set right by another. But now that you have given that same interpretation of this Prophecy to the Public, in your Supplement to your Remarks on the Signs of the Times, I should think myself wanting to the duties of the station, to which God has been pleased to call me, if I were any longer to suppress the refult of a diligent meditation of fo important a portion of the Prophetic Word. I cannot however enter upon the subject without professing, not to yourself but to the world, how highly I value and esteem your writings,

writings, for the variety and depth of Erudition, the Sagacity and Piety which appear in every part of them: but appear not more in them, than in your Conversation and the habits of your Life, to those who have the happiness, as I have had the happiness, to enjoy your intimacy and friendship. I must publicly declare, that I think you are rendering the best service to the Church of God, by turning the attention of believers to the true sense of the prophecies. For you are perfeetly right in the opinion you maintain, that a far greater proportion of the prophecies, even of the Old Testament, than is generally imagined, relate to the Second Advent of our Lord. Few comparatively relate to the First Advent by itself, without reference to the Second. And of those, that have been supposed to be accomplished in the First, many had in that only an inchoate accomplishment, and have yet to receive their full completion. While we agree in these great and leading principles, I hope that a difference of opinion upon subordinate points, upon the particulars of interpretation (so far as either of us may venture

B 2

upon

upon particular interpretation, which is to be ventured upon with the greatest caution, with fear, indeed, and trembling) will be received, on both sides, with that candour and charity, which is due from one to another, among all those who, in these eventful Times, are anxiously waiting for the redemption of Israel, and marking the aweful Signs of its gradual approach.

This XVIIIth Chapter of Isaiah is, as you have with great truth remarked, one of the most obscure passages of the antient Prophets. It has been considered as such by the whole succession of Interpreters, from St. Jerome to Bishop Lowth. "The object of it," says the Bishop, "the end and design of it, the people to "whom it is addressed, the history to which it belongs, "the person who sends the messengers, and the nation "to whom the messengers are sent, are all obscure and doubtful." Much of this obscurity lies in the diction (propter inustata verba, says Munster, propter siguratas sententias) in the highly sigured cast of the language,

language, and in the ambiguity of some of the principal words, arifing from the great variety of fenses often comprehended under the primary meaning of a fingle root. Few, I fear, will have the patience to follow me; but you, I flatter myself, will be one of the Few that will, in the flow and laborious method of investigation, by which I endeavour to dispel this obscurity; which however is the only method, by which obscurity of this fort is ever to be dispelled. Discarding all previous assumptions, concerning the design of the prophecy, the people to whom it is addressed, the history, or the times to which it belongs; I enter into a critical examination of every word of which the meaning is at all doubtful: and I confider the meaning of every word as, in some degree, doubtful, which has been taken in different fenses by different interpreters of note. I consider the etymology of the word; I enquire in what senses it is actually used, by the Sacred Writers, in other passages; and I compare with the original, and with one another, the translations of Interpreters, in different languages, and of different ages. And language, and in the ambients of ome

And here I must take occasion to remark, that, among the antient translations, attention is principally due to the Syriac to the fragments that are come down to us of Aquila, and to the Septuagint. To the Syriac; because it was the work of Christians in the very earliest age of Christianity: it gives us therefore the fense, which was received by the immediate fuccessors of our Lord's Apostles. To what remains of Aquila's version, for the contrary reason: it was the work of an enemy; and gave that fense of the Original (where the fense was at all uncertain) which was the least favourable to Christianity. To the Septuagint; not only because it was a translation made before the Hebrew ceased altogether to be a living language; but, being made by Jews long before the birth of Christ, the authors could be biassed by no prejudice against the particular claims of our Lord Jesus to the character of the Messiah of the Israelites. And whenever it gives a fense particularly favourable to his pretentions, and fuch a fense it gives in many passages,

passages, every such interpretation may be taken as an admission of the adversary. It is much to be lamented, that this translation is not come down to us in a more perfect state. Great indeed would its authority be, had we reason to receive it as the genuine unadulterated work of Ptolemy's translators. And yet, even in that perfect state, the authority, I should have allowed to it, would have been far short, I confess, of what you feem to ascribe to it. I should not have made it my Text. I should have claimed for myself, and other men of learning of the present day, a full competence to judge of the fense of the original, in opposition to the fense of the Seventy-Two. The fact however is, that this translation having been the most used, both in the fynagogue and in the church, in the first ages of Christianity, has for that very reason been the most tampered with both by Jews and Christians. It has been corrupted, by the very means, that were used to preserve and improve it. For I cannot but agree with St. Jerome, though I know how much his judgement in this point has been decried, that Origen's additions additions and detractions, however guarded by his afterisks, his lemnisks, and his obelisks, were, in the nature of the thing, a fource of inevitable corruption (for I give the name of Corruption to any alteration, though for the better, of an author's own words). And in the present state of this Greek Version, it is impossible to distinguish, with certainty, what is pure Septuagint, what is Septuagint corrected by Origen, and still more corrupted by careless transcribers, or prefumptuous emendators, of Origen's corrected Text. Great attention still is due to it: but not more than is due to an imperfect vitiated copy of a venerable original. Which original was but itself a shadow of the Hebrew Verity, the only prototype. It ought always to be confulted in difficulties, and much light is occasionally to be derived from it. But I say without hesitation, that, upon the whole, it represents the sense of the Hebrew Text, with less exactness, than either the Vulgate or the common English translation. In these sentiments, I fear, you will not concur. But this is a point, upon which I think it my duty to speak out. For it would be very mischievous in the present times, very contrary to the interests of sacred truth, if a party were to be formed in favour of any particular translation. But to return to the immediate subject.

that of Releion and the fortunes of the Charch.

When by this process, by scrutinizing etymologies, exploring usage, and confulting translations, I think I have afcertained the plain literal meaning of a word, and have felected, from a variety of fenses, that which feems the best suited to the context; my next step is to confider, what the thing denoted by the word, in the literal meaning, may figuratively represent, according to the principles of the prophetic imagery; for these two things, the literal meaning, as the foundation of the figurative, and the figurative meaning, according to the principles and usage of the prophetic style, are the only sure basis of interpretation; which will ever be precarious and delusive, if it be founded only on some general resemblance, hastily caught up by the imagination, between particular detached Copernicus

tached events, and the expressions of the prophet loofely and fancifully expounded. And fuch, I believe, all interpretations will be found to be, which refer texts of Prophecy to events merely fecular; not connected, or but very remotely connected, with the state of Religion and the fortunes of the Church. These fanciful interpretations, in one way or another, always are mischievous. Either they take; and then they spread a general error; or, if they find few admirers, they raise a prejudice against the interpreter, who in other respects may deserve attention, or, what is worse, against the word of Prophecy itself. And for this reason, I confess, I have often wished, that the fermation of the Goodwin Sands, the invention of the Telescope, the discoveries with regard to Fixed Air, and the invention of the Air-Balloon, had not been brought forward, as things at all connected with the effusion of the tremendous Vials of Wrath, on the Sea, the Sun, and the Air. Great as thefe things feem to the narrow mind of Man, I cannot think, that even greater things than these, not even the discoveries of Copernicus Copernicus and Newton, were worthy of the notice of that Spirit, which was in the Holy Prophets.

words for the completion. If we go beyond this, and

The method of investigation I have described, if men had the patience to purfue it, in most cases, I am perfuaded, would discover the general subject of a prophecy, and even develop the particulars of the accomplishment, when the general subject lies in any part of the history of past times, if the detail of that part of history is accurately known. But when the accomplishment of a prophecy is still future; when once the general subject is ascertained, at that point interpretation ought to stop for the present, reverently expecting the farther comments of Time, the authorised and infallible expositor. You have well remarked, that, with respect to the detail of things future, "Sacred "Truth should be very much left to speak for itself, "by flow degrees." And for itself it will speak, in God's good time; and it is only to a certain extent, that Man should attempt to speak for it: just so far, as to lay hold of the general subject, that we know wherewhereabouts, if we may fo speak, in what particular quarter of the world Politico-Ecclesiastic, we may watch for the completion. If we go beyond this, and attempt to descend into particulars, it is difficult, I am persuaded, even for a man of the most sober mind to keep his Imagination in order. And, though among the fanciful guesses of a man of learning and judgement, one perhaps in twenty, which I think is a large allowance, may turn out true; it is far better to leave this truth to be brought to light by Time, than to hazard the credit, both of the exposition and the text, by the other nineteen, which Time will consute. No mischief is done in the one case; much, in the other.

This Eighteenth Chapter of Isaiah is one instance among many, in which Expositors have perplexed themselves by gratuitous affumptions, concerning the general scope of the Prophecy, before they attempt to settle the signification of the terms in which it is delivered; and then they have sought for such interpretations of the language, as might suit the applications

the fariner comments of Lim

they

they had affumed. But it is a preposterous way of dealing with any writer, to interpret his words by his supposed meaning, instead of deducing his meaning from his words. It has been assumed by most interpreters, first, that the principal matter of this prophecy is a Woe, or Judgement. 2. That the object of this woe is the Land of Egypt itself, or some of the contiguous countries. 3. That the time of the execution of the judgement was at hand, when the prophecy was delivered.

You may fay perhaps, that in fixting thefe con-

I fet out with confidering every one of these assumptions as doubtful; and the conclusion, to which
my investigations bring me, is, that every one of them
is false. First, the prophecy indeed predicts some
woeful judgement. But the principal matter of the
prophecy is not judgement, but mercy; a gracious
promise of the sinal restoration of the Israelites.
Secondly, the prophecy has no respect to Egypt, or
any of the contiguous countries. What has been
applied to Egypt is a description of some people, or
another,

another, destined to be principal instruments in the hand of Providence, in the great work of the refettlement of the Jews in the Holy Land; a description of that people, by characters by which they will be evidently known, when the time arrives. Thirdly, the time for the completion of the prophecy was very remote, when it was delivered, and is yet suture; being indeed the season of the Second Advent of our Lord.

placy was delivered

You may fay perhaps, that in stating these conclusions here, before I have discussed the difficulties and ambiguities of the language of the Sacred Text, I am myself doing the very thing I blame in others; that I assume a certain general application, which I mean to confirm by critical reasoning on the holy prophet's words. But you will find, that my own conclusions are not assumed in any part of my enquiry, any more than the assumptions of others, which I discard. I consider the words in themselves; and I come to the conclusions by a grammatical examination of the words, independent

independent of all affumed applications. My only reason for stating my conclusions here is, that I think the disquisition, upon which I am entering, will be more perspicuous, and the length and minuteness of it less tedious, if the general result, in which it is to terminate, be previously known. Just as, in any mathematical investigation, the analytical process is more luminous and satisfactory in every step, if the theorem, to which it conducts, is distinctly enounced in the beginning.

determined to what people, among the tr

As far as the conclusions, which I have stated, go, I have the satisfaction to think you agree with me. The difference between us lies chiefly in this. You maintain, in your Remarks on the Signs of the Times, that it is expressly declared in certain passages of Isaiah's prophecy, by what people, and from what place, and at what time, the Jews shall be restored. In your Supplement, you alledge the 18th Chapter of Isaiah, as giving the sulless information with respect to the matter. And you think, the French are described,

fcribed, in that chapter, as the restorers of the Jews. It is my opinion, on the contrary, that the time for the restoration of the Jews is no otherwise defined than as the feafon of our Lord's Second Advent. I contend, that although this XVIIIth Chapter of Isaiah describes a people destined to be instruments of Providence in the restoration of the Jews, it describes that people only by certain characters, which have actually belonged to different people in different periods of the history of Man, and leaves it undedetermined to what people, among the various nations of the earth, these characters may belong, when the time shall come for the accomplishment of the prophecy; and I contend, that it is a matter equally undetermined, from what place the restoration of the Jews will begin. But although I pretend not positively to fay, what nation God has chosen to be the conductors of the Ifraelites to their antient feats, and maintain that Prophecy gives no clear light upon that question: I say negatively, that there is no reason to believe, that the Atheistical Democracy of France is destined to so high an office. The grounds, upon which I find myself compelled to differ upon these points, will appear in the fequel. I shall now give you my analysis of the Sacred Text, in the shape of notes upon the public translation. To these I shall subjoin a translation of the whole chapter, accompanied with fhort explanatory notes, for the information of the common English reader. For this I take to be the only way, in which the refult of these critical enquiries can be communicated to the unlearned. And to them it is to be communicated. For I never will admit, nor would you, I think, be inclined to admit, that our Religion has belonging to it any fecret doctrine, from the hearing of which the illiterate laity are to be excluded. The notion of the incompetence of the common people to understand the whole of the revealed doctrine, and of the danger of expounding the prophecies to them, is false and abominable. It is the very principle, upon which the Sacred Text was, for fo many ages, kept under the lock

D

and

and key of the dead languages. "Would God, fay I,
"all the Lord's people were prophets." And in this,
I think, you will agree with me.

you any analytis of the Secret Ty

indicated bille public translations

ISAIAH, CHAP. XVIII.

Verse 1. "Wo to the Land"——יהוי ארץ

In the 5th and 6th verses there is allusion to some severe judgement; and from a notion, which may perhaps be found to be erroneous, that the country, addressed in this verse, is to be the object of that threatened judgement, many interpreters, among these the LXX. Vulg. and Chald, render no by "Wo to—." But the particle no is not necessarily comminatory. Sometimes it is an exclamation of surprize; and very often it is simply compellative of persons at a distance. And so it is taken here by Calvin, Castalio; in the Great Bible

Bible, the Bishop's Bible, the English Geneva Bible, and by Vitringa.

בלצל כנפים - "hadowing with wings"

decurs in four passages only before

which their parts are dirown and quick a branches enc

The word צלצל, which our translators, very judiciously, in my opinion, have taken in the sense of "shadowing," must be confessed however to be of doubtful meaning.

The root by, or by, has two principal fenses. "To "quiver," like the lips in fear (Hab. III. 16.) and "to shade," or "shelter." It is often applied particularly to the ears, and predicates of the ears, that they sing, or tingle. This particular sense arises naturally out of the general sense of quivering; the singing, or tingling of the ear, being a sound produced within the ear itself, when the nerves, and other parts of the organ, are, by any external cause, thrown into a vehement vibratory motion. Hence some

nouns, derived from this root, are used for the names of fuch mufical inftruments as, from the readiness with which their parts are thrown into quick vibrations, give a found particularly shrill and sharp. Of these nouns is one. It occurs in four passages only besides this. Namely, 2 Sam. VI. 5. Pf. CL. 5. Job. XL. 26. Deut. XXVIII. 42. In the text in Job, indeed, it denotes some implement of a fisherman. In Deuteronomy, "the locust;" whether from the found of its wings, or from the other fense of the root by, is doubtful. But in both the other passages, it is evident from the context, that it renders fome mufical instrument; and it is, by most interpreters, understood of cymbals. And so it is taken by St. Jerome here. "Væ terræ cymbalo alarum," is his rendering. That is, "Wo to the land the cymbal of wings." By the structure of this Latin sentence, the country intended, whatever it may be, is described under the image, or emblem, of a "cymbal of wings." For terræ is a dative in apposition with "cymbalo." But

it is evident from St. Jerome's commentary, that he neither knew, what fort of a thing "a cymbal of "wings" might be, or what country was so described.

Symmachus seems to have understood the expression of some adjunct of the particular country intended, described under the image, not of a cymbal, or of any particular musical instrument, but of sounding wings. For his rendering is, $2 \lambda i \gamma \eta c \delta \eta \chi o c voleculoc.$

St. Jerome's notion of the Cymbal has been caught up by three commentators of confummate tafte and erudition, the great Bochart, Huetius, and Bishop Lowth. But, understanding the אלצל כנפים, with Symmachus, as an adjunct of the land, not as an emblem of the land itself; they have added, what was wanting of perspicuity, to St. Jerome's translation; or, rather, they have found a meaning for St. Jerome, which he could not find for himself. Their rendering is, "land of the winged cymbal." Then assuming, (for they cannot prove it, and Bishop Lowth, with his usual

usual candour, allows that the thing is doubtful), but assuming that Egypt is the country intended, they take "the winged cymbal" to be a poetical periphrasis for the Egyptian Sistrum; which differed, as they think, from the common cymbal in certain appendages of its structure, which resembled "wings;" or at least might be called כנפים, according to the large acceptation of that word in the Hebrew language. For Huetius, I think, was the only one of the three, whose imagination found in the figure of the Egyptian Siftrum, with its lateral appendages, an exact refemblance of a bird with expanded wings. Be that as it may, they agreed that the "winged cymbal" was the Egyptian Siftrum: and they confidered this as a characteristic of the land of Egypt, taken from the frequent use of the Sistrum, in the rites of her idolatrous worship. This interpretation no where makes a better figure, than in the elegant paraphrase of Carpentius:

Væ tibi quæ reducem, fiftris crepitantibus, Apim Concelebras, crotalos et inania tympana pulfans, Amne fuperba facro tellus—— And if it were certain, that Egypt is the country upon which the prophet calls, and that these words are inapplicable to Egypt, in any other sense, which they may admit; then indeed it would follow, that this must be the true sense of them in this place. But so long as it is at least doubtful, whether Egypt be the country intended; and so long as it is certain, that these words admit of other senses, in which they would be applicable to Egypt, if Egypt were the country intended; it will be reasonable to suspend our judgement, and to seek an exposition of less refinement.

The fecond principal fense of the root is, "to "shade, to overshade, to shelter;" and as a noun, "shade," "a shadow," "a shelter;" and this is the sense, in which it is most frequently used. It is true, the word in the reduplicate form never occurs in this sense, except it be so used in this place. But in this place it is so taken by the Syriac interpreter, and by Aquila.

Aquila. 1212: 1230 .o. Syr. ἐὰι γῆς σκιὰ ωρερίγων. Aq. And this rendering is followed by most modern interpreters; by Calvin, Diodati, the Spanish, and our English Translators, Castalio, Junius, Ostervald, and the very learned Vitringa; except that instead of a noun substantive for the word κυνά, which Aquila and the Syriac use, these moderns put either a participle, or something equivalent to a participle. In umbrans alis. Calvin. Shadowing with wings. Eng. Alis umbrosa tellus. Castalio. Terræ umbrosæ oris. Jun. and Trem. Païs qui fait ombre avec des ailes. Ostervald. Terra obumbrata alis. Vitringa.

It is certainly an objection of no great weight against these renderings, that the word אלצל, in the reduplicate form, is not to be found, in any other text, in the sense of shade, shadowing, or overshadowing. According to the principles of the Hebrew language, the reduplication of the letters of a root only gives intensity to the sense, whatever it may be. So that in whatever sense a word in the simple form is used, in the

the same it may be used in the reduplicate form, if the occasion requires an intension of the signification.

—latè obumbrans alis. But taking this as the literal rendering, still the image is of doubtful meaning.

ir protection afforded by the firenger to the tweet.

The mention of the Rivers of Ethiopia, which immediately follows, has ledde almost all expositors to look to Egypt, as the country addressed. If Egypt be intended, the alkusion may be to the geographical features of that country. The wings of Egypt may be understood, as Vitringa, Grotius, and Junius understand them, of the ridges of mountains running from South to North, on either side of the Nile; by their divergency, as they advance northward, somewhat resembling a pair of pinions, and overshadowing the intermediate vale of Egypt. But it is by no means certain, that Egypt is the country intended; and, whether Egypt be intended or not, the image may allude to nothing in the figure of the country, but to something in the national character or habits of

the people. So they must have understood it (and among them are the LXX. Jonathan and Coverdale), who take the wings for the sails of numerous vessels, overshadowing the surface of the ocean. But the shadow of wings is a very usual image in the prophetic language, fr protection afforded by the stronger to the weak. God's protection of his servants is described by their being safe under the shadow of his wings. And, in this passage, the broad shadowing wings may be intended to characterize some great people, who should be samous for the protection they should give to those, whom they received into their alliance; and I cannot but think this the most simple and natural exposition of the expression.

I shall therefore dismiss, without ceremony, those fanciful expositions, which would explain these wings of those of the swallow over the statue of Isis, or of the wings of the Idol Kneph. But there is another exposition which demands more attention, as it has dropped from your pen. "Lands," you premise, "have been some-

divergency, as they advance northward, four

"times geographically described, by some fancied ap"pearance in their outlines. Thus we read of the
"Delta in Egypt, of the tongue of the Egyptian Sea,
"&c. In the present instance, we have a description of
"a land, appearing geographically, in its outlines, with
"extended wings; something like those of a flutter"ing bird.—Let any one cast his eyes upon a globe,
"or upon a map of the world (and especially upon
"one well coloured), and let him see what land does
"fo, and he will find one, and one only, on the whole
"face of the whole earth, that has that appearance.
"This Land so appearing is France, which has Spain on
"one side, and Germany on the other; in the form
"of their outlines, like two extended wings"."

I confess, I cannot easily be perfuaded, that the prophet takes his images and allusions, from things which neither he, nor any one of his contemporaries, had ever seen. Have you, my dear Sir, considered, whether a globe, or even a map of the world, in

who was at leaft a century, and a half later than the

² Supplement, p. 24, 25.

E 2

which

which the appearance of the different countries could have any refemblance of that, which they exhibit upon our modern globes and maps, had ever met the eye of mortal man, in the time of the prophet Isaiah? And the notion of Germany and Spain, as the wings of France, could, according to your own principles, occur only to the imagination of one, who had feen the outlines of these countries, as they are laid down in our globes and maps, according to their prefent boundaries. And even then, a little good colouring, you feem to think, might be of great use, though not of absolute necessity, to assist the imagination. The invention of geographical charts is generally ascribed by the Greeks to Anaximander, the disciple of Thales, who was at least a century and a half later than the prophet. In the time of Darius Hystaspes, Aristagoras the Milesian, the countryman of Anaximander, and half a century his junior, when he went to Sparta to perfuade the Spartans to attack the Perfian monarch, is faid to have carried with him a plate of brass, on which was engraven the whole circuit of the dry land,

the whole fea, and all the rivers'. This is the earliest mention, which occurs to my recollection, in profane history, of any thing like a general map of the world; and this was 200 years later than Isaiah. Chorographic charts, indeed, or plans of a small extent of country, such as might be formed by the common principles of land-surveying, might be much older. Certain passages in the book of Joshua incline me to believe, that an actual survey was taken of the land of Canaan in Joshua's time, and a plan of it laid down, for the purpose of setting out the allotments of the different tribes. As for what was engraved, or written, on the pillars at Æa, by the Egyptians settled there by Sesostris, it might be nothing more, for any thing that appears from the words of Apollonius Rhodius²,

than

^{&#}x27; Απικνέεται δ' αν δ 'Αριςαγόρης, δ Μιλήτα τύραννω, ες την Σπάρην ——
ἔχων χάλκεον ωίνακα, εν τῷ γῆς ἀπάσης ωερίοδω ἐνεξετμηλο, κ θάλασσά τε ωᾶσα κ ωδημοί ωάνζες. Herodot. Terpfich. c. 49.

² Οι δή τοι γράπος ταθέρων έθεν εἰρύονζαι Κύρδιας, οις ἐνὶ ταᾶσαι όδοὶ κς το εἰρας ἔασιν

Υχέης τε τραφερής τε τε έριξ επινεισομένοιτιν. Apoll. Rhod. Lib. IV. 279.

than a description in words of the tract of the fleet along the coasts, and the march of the troops by land; the names of the places in order, where the ships came to anchor, and the army encamped; something like the catalogue of the mansions in the XXXIIId Chapter of the Book of Numbers; and I should not have taken notice of this engraving, or writing, here, had it not been mentioned by the learned Montucla 1, as a map of the entire conquests of Sesostris. But fuppose, we carry back the invention of Anaximander to the age of Isaiah. Suppose, that the prophet had feen Aristagoras's copper plate, or such another? What resemblance to the accurate picture of the earth's surface, exhibited in our modern maps and globes, could these delineations of it bear, which must have been made before the positions of the principal points, that is, not only of towns, but of the inland mountains, of promontories, capes, head-lands, and bays, upon the coaft, were accurately fixed, by observations of the latitude and longitude

of each? But of this method of pricking down the principal points by longitude and latitude; and of what was previously necessary, before this method could be brought into practice, the method of finding differences of longitude by eclipses of the fun and moon, Hipparchus was the first inventor. Hipparchus flourished not before the middle of the fecond century before our Lord. And Marinus of Tyre, about the year of our Lord 70, feems to have been the first, who applied Hipparchus's principle to the construction of general maps: and strange things the maps of Marinus must have been, by Ptolemy's account of them. Yet better, perhaps, than any Isaiah ever saw. Marinus had settled the latitudes of fome places, and the longitudes of others; but in very few instances had settled both longitude and latitude of the same place. Ptolemy's own maps were, I believe, the first, that gave the surface of the habitable earth, in any thing like its real shape; and still, not without enormous deviations from the truth in many parts. Of a terrestrial globe, I believe, he

was the first constructor. Harduin, I know, in his notes upon Pliny, ascribes that invention to Anaximander; but he is consuted (if so absurd a notion needed consutation, that a globe could be made before latitudes and longitudes were determined) by the very passage of Diogenes Laertius, which he cites in support of his conjecture, by Pliny's own words, and by the words in which other writers mention Anaximander's invention.

been the first, who appared the parches's prin

Shall we suppose then that a terrestrial globe, or a general map, in which the countries of the world were laid down according to their present boundaries (this supposition is necessary, for, if we alter the boundaries, the shape of the outline is changed, and upon the outline of the several countries the appearance of Spain and Germany, as the wings of France, depends); shall we suppose, that such a globe, or map, was exhibited to the prophet in vision? That his mind was enlightened by the inspiring Spirit, to know what it was; and that his attention was particularly directed

to France, lying betwen Spain and Germany, like the body of a bird between its expanded wings? There is nothing, in the Sacred Text, to warrant fuch a supposition. It must all be supplied by the reader's imagination. And it appears to me unwarrantable, to found an exposition of the text, of an inspired writer, upon any fuch supplement; unless the words taken by themselves, without some such supplement, were incapable of exposition. Whereas, in the present instance, the words admit a most easy and simple interpretation, founded on the usual and frequent import of the like image in other passages of holy writ. You will forgive me, therefore, if I take the fense, which the words themselves offer, in preference to any that rests upon precarious assumptions, or, as they feem to me, more precarious imaginations. To judge otherwife would be to fail, in my apprehension, in the respect that is due to an inspired Prophet.

was the first constructor. Harduin, I know, in his notes upon Pliny, ascribes that invention to Anaximander; but he is consuted (if so absurd a notion needed consutation, that a globe could be made before latitudes and longitudes were determined) by the very passage of Diogenes Laertius, which he cites in support of his conjecture, by Pliny's own words, and by the words in which other writers mention Anaximander's invention.

Shall we suppose then that a terrestrial globe, or a general map, in which the countries of the world were laid down according to their present boundaries (this supposition is necessary, for, if we alter the boundaries, the shape of the outline is changed, and upon the outline of the several countries the appearance of Spain and Germany, as the wings of France, depends); shall we suppose, that such a globe, or map, was exhibited to the prophet in vision? That his mind was enlightened by the inspiring Spirit, to know what it was; and that his attention was particularly directed

to France, lying betwen Spain and Germany, like the body of a bird between its expanded wings? There is nothing, in the Sacred Text, to warrant fuch a supposition. It must all be supplied by the reader's imagination. And it appears to me unwarrantable, to found an exposition of the text, of an inspired writer, upon any fuch supplement; unless the words taken by themselves, without some such supplement, were incapable of exposition. Whereas, in the present instance, the words admit a most easy and simple interpretation, founded on the usual and frequent import of the like image in other passages of holy writ. You will forgive me, therefore, if I take the fense, which the words themselves offer, in preference to any that rests upon precarious assumptions, or, as they seem to me, more precarious imaginations. To judge otherwife would be to fail, in my apprehension, in the respect that is due to an inspired Prophet.

But how was Europe, beyond the rivers of C

--- " beyond the rivers of Ethiopia" מעבר לנהריכוש

This feems to have been generally taken for a precife determination of the geographical fite of the country; which, for this description of its situation chiefly, has been supposed to be Egypt. If Ethiopia, or Cush rather, in this text, be the Ethiopia of profane geographers; or, to fpeak more accurately, if it be that acquired territory of the Cushites in Africa, which, ftretching all along the coast from Ptolemais to-Arfinoë, that is, from Derbeta to the streights of Bab al Mandeb, extended inland to the very banks of the Nile, and was washed in its breadth by the Astaboras and the Astapus; to which African territory of the Cushites, the name of Cush in Scripture (commonly rendered Ethiopia by all interpreters before Bochart) fometimes is applied; the rivers of Cush must be the Nile in its various branches, and its tributary streams. But how was Egypt, beyond the rivers of Cush, so understood, with respect to Judæa? From Meroë to the head

head of the Delta, Egypt was not more beyond, than on this fide of the Nile; for the river divided the breadth of the country. From the head of the Delta to the coast of the Mediterranean, the various branches of the river intersected the whole surface of the country. The preposition—ל מעבר ל is used with great latitude of meaning, either for that fide, or this fide, of a river, for trans and ultra, or cis and citra. And Vitringa in this place renders it by citra. But for the very fame reason that Egypt was not beyond the Nile, with respect to Judæa; it was not on this side of it. It was on both fides from Meroë to the head of the Delta; and below the head of the Delta, the country was on all fides of the innumerable streams, into which the river was divided. Bishop Lowth therefore rejects the use both of trans and citra, and conceives that the Hebrew preposition renders "bordering on," without specifying one fide or the other. And this is a fense, which unquestionably it sometimes bears. But yet it is not usual, I think, to fay of a broad plain, interfected by canals, which was the case of Egypt in the part most known to foreigners, that it borders on them. Egypt, therefore, is positively excluded, by every possible interpretation of the preposition—'; and, Egypt being out of the question, it is reasonable to understand the preposition in the sense of "beyond;" as it has been understood by all interpreters, except Vitringa, Houbigant, Bishop Lowth, Diodati, and Coverdale. Diodati hesitates between the two senses of "on this side" and "beyond." Bishop Lowth takes "bordering on." The other three, "on this fide." But "beyond" is to be preferred. For the contrary fense feems excluded by the distance of the country. The country is evidently distant, because the prophet calls, or rather hollas, to it. But a country, not Egypt, and yet on this fide of these rivers of Cush with respect to Judæa, must have lain between Egypt and Judæa; consequently, at no such great distance from Judæa. And these are the only circumstances of its geographical fituation, which the prophecy discovers; that, with respect to Judæa, it is far distant, and "beyond the rivers of Cush."

"And fo," you fay, "the land of France actually geographically is."

I admit, that in a certain sense it is; but yet, I think, the prophet, in the reference, which you fuppose, to a globe, or a general map of the world, could not have so described it. A person, taking his notions of the relative fituations of countries, from their appearance on a map lying before him, would observe that no strait line drawn from any point in Judæa to any point in France, would cross any one of these Cushæan streams; which are all lost, the rest in the main stream of the Nile, and the Nile itself in the ocean, before the line of direction of any one of them meets any fuch strait line. No one therefore, contemplating a map of the world, would describe France as beyond these streams of Cush. But my notion of the prophet's geographical language is, that it is the language of the Phænician voyagers of his time. And in those times, the most distant voyages being made along the coasts, the Phœnician mariners would fpeak of every place

which lay to the west of the mouths of the Nile, as beyond the Nile; that is, in the poetical language of the prophet, beyond the rivers of Cush; because, keeping always along the coaft, they would pass within fight of the mouth of the Nile, before they reached that western place. According to this nautical phrafeology of the voyagers of those times, the circumstance of being beyond the rivers of Cush was applicable, indeed, to France. But not particularly to France, more than to Spain, Portugal, Great Britain, Ireland, Denmark, in short any part of Europe without the streights. Not more to any part of Europe, than to any part of Africa, without the streights. Not more to any part of Europe, or Africa, than to the whole eastern coast of North and South America. The particular fituation of the country therefore is by no means ascertained by this circumstance.

But, in truth, it is much more undetermined, than as yet appears. Since the country, intended in the prophecy, is not Egypt; the Cush of this text, for

any thing that appears to the contrary from the text itself, may be the Asiatic Cush; to which country the name is more frequently applied. Not indeed, that particular diffrict of Arabia Deferta, to which, as the original feat of the fons of Cush, Bochart would restrict the name. That by itself cannot be the Cush of this place; for that district had no rivers. The four which Bochart gives it, he is forced to borrow for it, as Vitringa has observed, from other countries; and three of the four are mere torrents. But the name of Cush (vulgarly, as hath been observed, rendered Ethiopia) is applied in holy writ to a large tract of country, comprehending, besides the proper territory of the Cushites, the rest of Arabia Deserta, the whole peninfula of Arabia Felix, and extending east, along the coast of the Persian Gulph, at least as far as the Tigris. The great Bochart would find it difficult to dispute this with me, upon his own principles; because he allows, that the Cushites, as they grew more numerous, spred themselves from the territory he affigns to them, as originally their own,

into

into other parts of Arabia, and eastward even into Carmania. Be that as it may, we read in Scripture of a land of Cush, of which the boundary on one fide was the river Gihon. " And the name of the fecond river is Gihon; the fame is that which compasseth the whole land of Cush." Gen. II. 13. No one, I suppose, that has considered what has been written by Calvin, and after him by Huetius, Vitringa, and others, upon the subject of the site of Paradise, can entertain a doubt, that Gihon was one of the two branches, into which the streams of the Euphrates and the Tigris, uniting at Apamea, part again at Asia; and through which their waters were discharged into the Persian Gulph, before the natural course of those great rivers, in this lower part, was altered by the hand of man. Phison and Gihon, rivers of Eden, were these two diverging streams. Which of the two was the eastern, and which the western branch, is a matter of some doubt; but it is of little importance to the present question. They ran at no great distance from each other: Gihon was unquestionably one of them; and it was the boundary of the Afiatic land of Cush. These, therefore, for aught that appears to the contrary, may be the rivers of Cush in this passage; and the land beyond these rivers of Cush, with respect to Judæa, will be some country on the coast, east of the Tigris. So that, unless we can determine, whether it be the African or the Afiatic land of Cush, of which the prophet speaks; we know not, in which quarter to look for the land beyond the rivers of Cush, whether far to the west, or far to the east of Palestine. Auriled out to share that to trot a out

But though the geographical fite of the country is left thus uncertain, for very uncertain it would be, even if we could tell which Cush is meant; yet the people of the country are marked, as will appear, by characters, by which they will be distinguished from all other people of the earth, when the time comes.

commuted to the waves, to be floated to Byblus, And

That

(15.1

ctymology of the word. Nor as this leafe in any

of thomas and it, was the boundary of the Aistic

to the contrary, may the the rivers of Onth in this

2. "That fendeth ambaffadors by the fea."

Egypt in every characteristic of the country mentioned by the prophet, understands the word property of epistolary dispatches, or pacquets. He expounds the passage of that extraordinary pacquet, which the Egyptians sent annually to the Syrians, with the joyful news that Adonis was found. The epistle was put into a fort of slask, made of the bulrush, which was committed to the waves, to be floated to Byblus. And of this bulrush-slask he understands the "vessels of bulrush," of which the mention follows.

But I cannot find a fingle instance, in which the word profignishes "parcels, bundles, or pacquets;" however consistent this sense might seem with the etymology of the word. Nor is this sense in any degree supported by the version of the LXX. It is true,

even if we could rell whi

true, they render the word צירים by the neuter "שחפמ. But the neuter sunga, instead of the masculine sungas, is invariably their word for "hoftages." The mafouline Jungos they never use, and the neuter Junga they never use in any other sense, or for pledges of any other fort, than perfons pledged: they join, indeed, with όμηρα, in this place, έπιςολας βιβλίνας; evidently meaning, not epiffles inclosed in a bulrush-flask, but epiffles written on the papyrus. And these words they give, not as expositive of the former word bunga, but as rendering ובכלי־נכא (or perhaps their reading might be without the prefix בא And when וכלי־גמא, or the bulrush, was the substance, on which men usually wrote; כלידגמא, according to the wide fignification of the word כלי in the Hebrew language, would be no unnatural phrase for "epistles." Though connected, as it is here, with the notion of floating on the surface of the waters, it feems far more probable, that it fignifies navigable veffels. and uled by the Egyptians upon the Nile. But if true, they render the word wary by the neuton

for confidential messengers; and the singular is twice used for a person charged with a public message; and in that sense it is taken here, by all the antient interpreters; by LXX. Syr. Chald. Vulg. Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotion. "Messengers," in this place, in the English, might be better than ambassadors; for the original word may be taken for persons employed, between nation and nation, for the purposes either of negotiation, or commerce. "Messengers" is the word in the Bishop's Bible.

" in vessels of bulrushes." : otorn

Navigable vessels are certainly meant; and if it could be proved, that Egypt is the country spoken to, these vessels of bulrushes might be understood literally of the light skiffs, made of that material, and used by the Egyptians upon the Nile. But if the country

balanth, was the fabiliance, on which men ufurly

the word to in the Hebrew language, would be no

country spoken to be distant from Egypt, vessels of bulrush are only used as an apt image, on account of their levity, for quick-sailing vessels of any material. The country, therefore, to which the prophet calls, is characterised as one, which, in the days of the completion of this prophecy, should be a great maritime and commercial power, forming remote alliances, making distant voyages to all parts of the world, with expedition and security, and in the habit of affording protection to their friends and allies. Where this country is to be found is not otherwise said, than that it will be remote from Judæa, and with respect to that country, beyond the Cushæan streams.

--- " faying, Go ye swift messengers."

The word "faying" is not in the original: nor in the LXX. Vulg. Chald. or Syr. nor in the Bishop's Bible. It has been inserted in our public translation, and many others of a late date, upon a supposition, that the words which follow, "Go, ye swift messengers," &c.

Machinents, outfillings Townson Hordinant underlined

are a command given by the people, called to in the first verse, to messengers sent by them. But it should rather seem, that the command to the swift messengers is the prophet's command, that is, God's command by the prophet; and that the swift messengers, to whom the command is given, are the very people called upon in the first verse; who, by their skill in navigation, and their perpetual voyages to distant parts, were qualified to be swift carriers of the message. First, the prophet calls upon this people; he summons them to attend to him; then he declares, for what immediate purpose they are summoned; viz. to be the carriers of a message.

The word "faying" is not inferted by Vitringa, Houbigant, or Bishop Lowth. Houbigant understands the whole chapter of the Jews, Sennacherib, and Tirhaka; and the swift messengers he takes to be messengers sent by Tirhaka to the Jews, to inform them, that he was upon the march against their enemy Sennacherib.

who adopts this erroneous principle, could have induced

Vitringa and Bishop Lowth understand the prophecy of Sennacherib. But the command given to the meffengers, they take to be the command of God by his prophet. But the people, summoned in the first verse, they take to be the very people, to whom these fwift messengers are fent, described by other characteristics in the sequel of this second verse; and the "fwift " messengers" they understand of no particular people, nor of any certain perions, but of any the ufual "con-"veyers of news whatfoever," fays Biffiop Lowth, "tra-"vellers, merchants, and the like; the inftruments "and agents of common fame." "Nuntii hic funt "obvii quique," fays Vitringa. These learned interpreters were all missedde by an error common to them all, and to them with many others; that contiguity to the rivers of Cush is one principal circumstance in the prophet's description of the country, to the people of which he speaks; and nothing but the difficulty, in which every interpreter will find himfelf involved, who

who adopts this erroneous principle, could have induced writers of the piety, judgement, and good taste of Bishop Lowth and Vitringa, to take up the strange notion, that God's awful message is committed to any one and every one, who might chance to be passing to and fro. "Ite nunc obvii qualescunque," says Vitringa, "quibus decretum hoc curiæ cœlestis inno-"tuerit, et denuntiate," &c.

The message certainly is God's. The command to messages, to go swiftly upon the message, is God's command, issued by his prophet; but the swift messages, charged with the message, are not the "in-"struments and agents of common same," but the particular people, summoned by the prophet in the sirst verse to attend him, in order to be charged with the commission he now seems about to give them.

" medicagers" there needs and of so particular people

the prophet's defendation of the comment, to the prophet

^{——}to a nation scattered and peeled, "or, spread out and polished" (margin).

is not in force degree rathingal, entirer day these

ממושך אל נוי ממשך ומורש. Kennicot's best MSS have וממורט; a more regular orthography of the words, producing no alteration of the fense. -προς έθνος μεζέωρον, κ ξένον. LXX.—ad gentem convulsam et dilaceratam. Vulg.—to a nation that is fcattered abrode and robbed of that they had. Great Bible, and Bishop's Bible.ad gentem distractam et expilatam. Calvin,-ad gentem distractam et depilatam. Jun. et Tremell.-ad distractam direptamque gentem. Castalio.-à la gente arrastrada y repelada. Span.—alla gente di lunga statura e dipelata. Diodati.—vers la nation de grand atirail fans poil. Oftervald .- ad gentem protractam et depilatam. Vitringa.—ad gentem quæ raptatur et laceratur. Houbigant.—the nation drawn out and made bare. Purver.—to a nation stretched out in length, and fmoothed. Bishop Lowth.

Different as these translations are, not one of them can be said to be erroneous. Since no one of them affixes a sense to either of the two participles, which

of their participles, an allution to the flape of that

H

is not in some degree justified, either by the etymology of the word, or by the use of it in other places; except, indeed, that, in the verson of the LXX, it is difficult to discern any correspondence, between their word \(\xi\) five and the Hebrew was, which it should render. The verb grap signifies "to draw" in any manner; that is to say, it renders the Latin trabere, and every one of its compounds: atttrabere, contrabere, extrabere, protrabere, distrabere, vi abripere—to drag forcibly away. Was renders "to pluck the hair"—"to become bald by the falling of the hair"—"to make smooth by rubbing"—"to surbsish"—"to fret or gall the skin."

Vitringa and Bishop Lowth, resolute in the application of the description to Egypt, and supported in this by the authority of Bochart, find, in the sirst of these participles, an allusion to the shape of that country; and, in the second, an allusion either to one of the characteristic customs of the people, the practice of smoothing their bodies by the extirpation of the

tain. Vieringa.—ad centem que rapi

the hair in all parts, or elfe to the annual fmoothing of the furface of the land, by the overflowing of the Nile. But the participle ממשך, in the fense of "dragged away," may be applied to a people forcibly torn from their country, and carried into captivity. And the participle מורט, or ממורט, " pluckt," may be applied to a people plundered of their wealth, and stripped of their power. Or, as the word is fometimes used for the plucking of the hair of the beard in contumely, it may be applied figuratively to a depressed people, treated every where with infult and indignity. Thus both these participles may be more naturally applied to the Jews, in their present condition, than to any other nation of any other time. The fense is perspicuoufly expressed in the Bishop's Bible-" scattered abrode and robbed of that they had." But the force of the original words is better preferved in the Spanish, than in any other translation; and I question whether it can be expressed, with equal brevity, in any other of the modern languages of Europe-" gente arrastrada y "repelada." Arrastrar is "to drag about by force."

H 2

Andar

Andar un bombre arrastrado, is a proverbial expression, in the Spanish language, applied to a man who roams about, an outcast of society, every where seeking relief, which he no where finds, from the extreme of necessity and poverty. Repelar is not only to pluck the hair, but to tear it up by the roots, pulling it against the grain of its growth.

I must observe, that the word pro, which occurs in twelve passages, and no more in the whole Bible, besides this and the seventh verse of this chapter, is not used in any one of them in a moral sense, answering to the English word "polite." Nor can I find, that it bears that sense in any of the dialects.

esoully expressed in the Edhop's Bible-" feathered

power, Or, as the word is fornetimes uned for the

[—] to a people terrible from their beginning hitherto.

"הלאה והלאה" to a people terrible, &c."

"To wit, the Jews," fays the annotator in the English Geneva Bible, "who, because of God's plagues, made all other nations afraid of the like; as God threatened."

Deut. xxvIII. 37. And the Jews are certainly the people

people meant; though interpreters differ much, both in the rendering, and in the application of the words. λαον η χαλεπόν τίς (or τί) αυίε ἐπέκεινα; LXX. The text of the LXX, feems to be in some disorder. I fuspect the true reading of the entire passage to have been -προς έθνος με εωρον η ξένον, η λαον χαλεπόν. τίς αυίε επέχεινα; unto a nation of Rately Rature and Grange, and a people bard [to encounter]. What people more so than this? that is, what people more hard to encounter than this? - med on su esin emeneiva. Sym.—ad populum terribilem, post quem non est alius. Vulg.—ad populum formidabilem ab eo et deinceps. Calv.—ad populum eorum qui funt ultra ipsum formidabilissimum. Castalio.—ad populum formidabilem ex eo loco atque ulterius. Jun. et Tremell. to a fearfull people, and to a people that is further then thys. Coverdale.—a fearfull people from their begynnyng hytherto. Great Bible, and Bishop's Bible. al pueblo lleno de temores des de su principio y despues. Span.—al popolo spaventevole, che è puì oltre di quella. Diodati. Diodati conceives that the עם ערא, &c. is another

another people. For so he explains himself in his notes.—al popolo c. a que' più salvatichi, c' habitano nell' Etiopia interiore, più lontani del mare, più neri, sparuti, horridi, e barbari.—vers le peuple terrible depuis là où il est, et par delà. Ostervald.—populum sormidabilem, à quo suit et usque. Vitringa.—ad populum fractum ærumnis et satiscentem. Houbigant, applying this character to the Jews of the prophet's times. But will is never used as a participle passive, that is, as applied to the person affected with sear, as Houbigant understands it here—" the people terrible not only where they are, but surther. Purver.—" to a peo" ple terrible from the sirst and hitherto." Bp. Lowth.

Of these renderings some seem to give hardly any sense; some, senses quite foreign to the context. The sense, which most naturally arises from the words, and best suits the context, is that which is given in the Great Bible, the Bishop's Bible, and the Spanish, and is adopted in our later English translations, and followed by Vitringa and Bishop Lowth. But even in these translations the word words.

not well rendered by "fearfull," "lleno de temore," or "formidabilem," or "terrible." The word, if I mistake not, is applicable to whatever excites admiration, or awe, with, or without, any mixture of terror. There is no word in the English language which will render it universally. It must be rendered differently in different places, according to its connection. Majestic, sublime, grand, awefull, and sometimes terrible. In this place I would render it "awefully remarkable." But with respect to the phrase, כן הוא יהלאה, I agree with Vitringa, that it will best suit the context, if it be understood, not of place, but of time. But understanding the time, described as present by the adverb הלאה (hitherto), of the time present when the prophecy was uttered; he applies the character contained in these words, as rendered by himself and in our public translation, to the Egyptians; of whom he observes with truth, that they had been formidable from the earliest times to the times of the prophet. But the time present in prophetic vision, is not the time of the delivery, but that of the fulfillment of the prophecy. The people, to whom the character

racter is to be applied, must exist, and the character must notoriously belong to them, at the time of the accomplishment of the prophecy. If therefore the prophecy. is not yet accomplished, which will appear to be the case, the application of this character to the people of Egypt must be erroneous. For that people is gone; and has long fince ceased to be of any consideration. But the people of the Jews have been from their very beginning, are at this day, and will be to the end of time, a people venerable in a religious fense, awfully remarkable, (in which fense, rather than in that of terrible, as I have observed, I would take מרא here) on account of the special providence visibly attending them. And, with this correction of the word "terri-" ble," I should not much object to Purver's rendering. The words, I think, may bear it. And the sense it gives, applies more aptly to the Jews, than to any other people. They have been a people awefully remarkable, not only in the part of the world where they were fettled, but, fince their difpersion particularly, to the utmost corners of the earth.

Schlepane and Moore, not fettled in walled found

——" a nation meted out and trodden down;" or, "a nation that meteth out and treadeth down." Margin. In these renderings, as well as in Vitringa's and Bishop Lowth's, the allusion seems to be to Egypt; but in the original, and in the antient versions, it is evidently to the Jews.

The interpretations of the words are fo various, and the manner of application so different, even among those who apply the words to the same people, that it will be proper to state the different renderings one by one; and the order, I shall observe in stating them shall be, to begin with those, which seem to me the most extravagant.

The first, therefore, I shall mention, is that of Ostervald; because I have not the least conception of his meaning—vers la nation allant à la file, et soulée. The next shall be Diodati's—alla gente sparsa qua e la, e calpestata. This he applies to the Nomad tribes of Ethiopians

Ethiopians and Moors, not fettled in walled towns, but scattered in villages. But how sparsa qua e la is to be brought out of the Hebrew, קו קו, he has not informed us. The third place is due to Junius and Tremellius-" gentem omnibus delineantem et con-"culcantem." They understand these to be the words of Tirhaka, describing the haughty overbearing character of the Affyrian empire. The next in order shall be Grotius-" gentem lineæ lineæ et conculcationis." "Id est," he says, his rendering wants an id est indeed, "gentem quæ paulatim protendit imperii sui ter-" minos, et superbo pede victos proterit," applying the character to the Affyrians. Next hear Castallio-" gen-"tem alios atque alios limites habentem, attritamque." He understands the passage of the countries bordering on the Nile; of which the boundaries, he fays, were perpetually changed by the inundations of the river. Next let Vitringa speak—"ad gentem canonis et canonis [or præcepti et præcepti] et conculcationis." He applies the passage to the Egyptians, and imagines, that the Egyptians are characterized in it by two circumstances; stances; the number of precise rules, to the observance of which they were held in their idolatrous rites, and their practice of trampling in their seed with cattle. Bishop Lowth renders—"a nation meted out by line "and trodden down." This he applies to Egypt, expounding the "meted out" of the frequent necessity, in that country, of having recourse to mensuration, in order to determine the boundaries after the inundations of the Nile; and the "trodden down," of the trampling in of the seed.

Bible - r a mationaby little and attle

I proceed now to those interpretations, which refer the passage to the Jews; beginning with those, in which the rendering is the most questionable, though the application be right. Among those interpreters, who, rightly applying the passage to the Jewish people, seem to mistake the sense in which it is applied to them, Houbigant must take the lead—" ad gentem limitibus "angustis conclusam, et proculcatam." He observes, that the limits of the kingdom of Judæa had been often shortened, by the conquests of the Assyrians.

I 2

Next

Next in order comes the venerable Calvin.—" gentem " undique conculcatam." He supports this rendering thus: " ים id est, Undique; ac si quis duceret li-" neas, iisque inter se conjunctis, nullum locum " vacuum relinqueret: vel fulcos duceret in agro, qui-"bus omnes glebas subigeret." Last in this class are the old translations in our own language—" a desper-"ate and pylled folke." Coverdale; badly rendering, not the Hebrew, but the Greek of the LXX.—" a nacyon "troden downe by lytle and lytle." Great Bible, and Bishop's Bible.—" a nation by little and little even "troden under foot." English Geneva. Would you know, by what process of criticism, "by little and little" is brought out of is? Hear Vatablus.— " Metaphora, tracta ab architectis, qui ordinem unum " post ordinem alterum collocare solent, i. e. cui pau-" latim conculcatio evenit."

In all these renderings the sense is far-fetched, drawn by a torture of criticism from the words. nce. The use of the verb, in this feafe, is far more

The antient translations seem far preferable, arising naturally out of the words of the original, without any previous assumptions, or any accommodation to assumptions, by violent efforts of the critical art.

—ad gentem expectantem et conculcatam." Vulg. "ἔθνος ὖπομένον ἢ συμπεπα]ημένον." Aquila.—ἔθνος ἀνέλ-πις ον ἢ κα]απεπα]ημένον. LXX.—" gente harta de esperar y "hollada." Span. All these versions are to the same effect; but those of the Vulgate, and the Spanish, are incomparably the best.

prefent thate, a nation " expeding, expeding, and

The word up is unquestionably from the root up. The verb up signifies " to stretch, to stretch away." Hence the noun up sometimes signifies a measuring line, sometimes a strait rule, of the mason or carpenter, and thence signifies a rule of conduct, or a precept. But the verb up signifies also " to expect," to look for with eager desire"—ἀποκαραδοκείν; from the natural act of stretching the neck to look for a thing coming from a distance.

distance. The use of the verb, in this sense, is far more frequent, than in the other. And when used in this fense, the verb in some instances, though it must be confessed in in few, drops the final ... Why therefore may not קר קר render " expecting, expecting." It is probable, that the true reading of the Vulgate may be ad gentem expectantem, expectantem, et conculcatam. For we find the word expectante thus doubled, in firich conformity to the original, in the repetition of this description of the people intended, in the 7th verse: and Lucas Brugensis testifies, that sixteen MSS. repeat expectantem in this place. Now are not the Jews, in their present state, a nation "expecting, expecting, and trampled under foot?" still without end expecting their Messiah, who came so many ages since, and every where trampled under foot, held in subjection, and generally treated with contempt? And is not this likely to be their character and condition, till their conversion shall take place? The ἀνέλπισον of the LXX, may fignify " not gratified in their hope."

freeching the neck to look

The Syriac version appears, at first fight, to be different from these; but I believe, upon examination it will be found to be equivalent—a. o : 222 for which the Latin translation gives "populum fœdum et conculcatum;" but in the Hebrew language שכר, as a verb, renders "to be drunk;" as a noun, both in the Hebrew and in the Chaldee dialect, "an inebriating drink;" and the same sense is given to the Syriac noun lasa both by Schindler, and the younger Buxtorf. The judgement of these learned lexicographers is confirmed by the actual use of the word in the Syriac version of Is. XXIX. 9. where it is put to render the Hebrew שכר in the fense of intoxicating drink. Hence it feems reasonable to suppose, that the verb may fignify, in Syriac as in Hebrew, " to be drunk," and the participle aphel ; and "drunken." Indeed, Schindler makes "fœdum esse," a secondary sense. I suspect that he is right, and that the filthiness, unfightliness, or vileness expressed by the word, is that fort of unfeemlinefs, which difgraces the figure and actions of a drunken man. If I am right in this inference,

ference, the Syriac should be rendered populum temulentum et conculcatum—" a people drunk, and trodden " under foot." The drunkenness is that drunkenness of intellect, which makes them blind to the prophecies relating to the Meffiah, and to themselves, and keeps them to this hour in expectation of another Messiah, than he whom they crucified. "-they are drunken, but not " with wine—they stagger, but not with strong drink. " For Jehovah hath poured upon them the spirit of "deep fleep, and hath closed their eyes; their pro-" phets, their rulers, and their feers, hath he covered." Isaiah XXIX. 9. 10. The Syriac, so rendered, gives a sense perfectly equivalent to that of the other antient versions, though under an image borrowed, as it should seem, from other parts of the prophetic writings. I have a suspicion, that this interpreter, fome how or other connected, or confounded, the word קוא in this place, with the root קאה, or קוא, "to " vomit."

--- " whose

be an anomaly, to which actions thought is to be found

"whose land the rivers have spoiled," or "despise." Margin. To this effect the passage is rendered by all interpreters, except Coverdale, the learned Julius Bate, and Bishop Lowth. Coverdale's interpretation deserves to be mentioned only for its singularity; for it is impossible to trace it to any principle—"whose "londe is devyded from us with ryvers of water." Julius Bate and Bishop Lowth give the verb war, by all others rendered "spoiled," a sense directly opposite to that of spoiling. The former in his Critica Hebræa, under the word war, says, "by the context [viz. in "this place] it may be overslow, or, inrich, or satten, or, &c." and Bishop Lowth renders it by the word "nourish."

It is certain, the root ND occurs no where in the Bible, but in this one passage. And it passed with all interpreters, before Schultens, Coverdale alone excepted, for an unusual form of the root poil." But Schultens thought, the change of ND into ND would

Scoulters's objection to the trial expedition of this

be

be an anomaly, to which nothing fimilar is to be found in the whole compass of the Hebrew language. He would refer the word, therefore, to the root and, rather than to the fignifies "to slight," "to despise," "to "insult." And he thinks, that to say of a river, that it despises, or insults a country, is a noble metaphor for overflowing and destroying. And he attempts to confirm this exposition, by the senses of the verb and in the Arabic language. Upon the whole, therefore, Schultens agrees with others in the sense of the passage. Only he imagines, that the verb are expresses, by a metaphor, what all interpreters before him thought it expressed literally.

Bishop Lowth, affenting as it should seem to Schultens's objection to the usual exposition of this word, gives it the contrary sense of nourishing; upon the suggestion, as he tells us, of a learned friend, who reminded him that the noun is in Syriac, and in Chaldee, signifies a breast, dug, or teat. This sense of nourishing, the learned Bishop says, would persectly

or, Sec." and Billiop Lowell renders it by the word

perfectly well fuit with the Nile-" for to the inun-" dation of the Nile, Egypt owed every thing; the " fertility of the foil, and the very foil itself. Be-" fides, the overflowing of the Nile came on by gen-"tle degrees, covering, not laying waste the coun-"try." All this is most unquestionably true. But the mention of it here only shews, that this conjectural interpretation of nourishing, an interpretation not transferred directly to the Hebrew verb from the actual fense of a corresponding word in any of the dialects, but derived indirectly, by critical theory, from the fense of a noun of the same letters in the Syriac; that this conjectural interpretation is put upon the word, upon the ground of affumptions, which the learned prelate himself confidered as doubtful. Ift. That the word "Rivers," in this paffage, is to be understood literally, of some natural rivers. 2. That Egypt is the country described in this fecond verse. Whence indeed it would follow, that the Nile, in its various branches, must be the rivers; and that this clause must be so interpreted, as to de-

K 2

fcribe

feribe the effects of the inundation of the Nile upon the land of Egypt. But in the same degree, that these assumptions are doubtful; the supposed discordance of the received interpretation, and the supposed agreement of this new interpretation, with the subject matter of the prophecy, will be likewise doubtful. Deny these assumptions; and nothing will be found in the context, to which Julius Bates appeals, and on which Bishop Lowth in effect relies, in savour of this interpretation.

Schultens's objection to the common rendering appears to me, I confess, more subtle than solid. When he says, that we for we would be an anomaly, of which the like is not to be found in the whole compass of the Hebrew language; I conceive he means, that an instance is not to be found, among the verbs that double the second radical, of a change of the radical, so doubled, into w. At the same time he seems to admit, in the very next sentence, that among the verbs which end in n, the change of the final n into

any of the dialeces, but derived

א is not uncommon. Now we very often find three verbs in the Hebrew, differing in their form no otherwife than thus: that the one shall be a verb ain a (for the fake of brevity, upon a very dry subject, you must permit here to assume a very technical language) the second a verb doubling ain, and the third a verb lamed n. Three such verbs have not only so near a resemblance in the letters, that, in the oblique forms, you will find it difficult to distinguish one from another, otherwise than by the differences of the Masoretic points, which, holding the points to be of no authority, I consider as no distinctions; but though each may have strictly its proper fense, yet in many instances, in the latitude of ulage, they have often an intercommunity of fignification. When this happens, it is because there is some general radical meaning common to them all, comprehending under it the feveral specific meanings of each, and producing fomething of an indifcrimination in the application of them, even in these secondary meanings. no two wait daily ai

Thus the old lexicographers give us three roots מות, and מב, "כות to brand with infamy, to dif-" grace." 'niz, " to despise, to flight." is, "to " plunder, to spoil." It is evident that the difference in sense, between no and area, is not great; the latter expressing an act of the same kind, in a less degree, or to a smaller extent. But it is not so obvious, but it is very certain, that me is the real primary root; for its fense "to rob, or plunder," comprehends under it the senses of both the other. For "to disgrace "a man," "to brand him with infamy," what is it but to rob him, to despoil him, of his good name and reputation? And to flight, or contemn a man, what is it, but not to give him that respect, which is his due? which is the next thing to robbery. Hence it is not to be wondered, if #3 should sometimes give its own proper meaning to its fubordinates no or no. Accordingly we find actually used in the sense of " to " fpoil." I Sam. XIV. 36. This, I confess, is the only passage, in which the word occurs in that sense. But one clear unquestionable instance is decisive; and I find I find the MSS, all agree in the reading. One, indeed, of Kennicott's MSS, but only one, omits the word all-together; but no one of them gives it without the final n. The inftance is one of the strongest that can be. It occurs in a simple historical narrative in prose. The verb is the 1st person pl. of the suture in Kal. in which the final n, in the verbs, quiescent lamed n, to the best of my recollection, never is quiescent. The verb is transitive. Its object is the detached pronoun masc. of the 3d person plur, with a prefix; so that the final n can be nothing but radical.

Hence, I think, we may conclude, that the verb in inthis place, is not, indeed, for me, but for interest, in this place, is not, indeed, for me, but for interest, in the formal, according to the rule of conjugation of the verbs quiescent lamed in, should form the 3d pers. pl. præt. Kal.) in the sense of interest, and that it renders literally, not by a metaphor, as Schultens imagined, "have spoiled."

only fafe way of dealing with difficult and denisting

Perhaps,

Thus the old lexicographers give us three roots וום, and חב, "בות to brand with infamy, to dif-" grace." , cin despise, to flight." its, "to " plunder, to spoil." It is evident that the difference in fense, between no and area, is not great; the latter expressing an act of the same kind, in a less degree, or to a smaller extent. But it is not so obvious. but it is very certain, that me is the real primary root; for its fense "to rob, or plunder," comprehends under it the senses of both the other. For "to disgrace "a man," "to brand him with infamy," what is it but to rob him, to despoil him, of his good name and reputation? And to flight, or contemn a man, what is it, but not to give him that respect, which is his due? which is the next thing to robbery. Hence it is not to be wondered, if me should fometimes give its own proper meaning to its fubordinates no or no. Accordingly we find actually used in the sense of " to " fpoil." I Sam. XIV. 36. This, I confess, is the only passage, in which the word occurs in that sense. But one clear unquestionable instance is decisive; and I find I find the MSS, all agree in the reading. One, indeed, of Kennicott's MSS, but only one, omits the word alltogether; but no one of them gives it without the final n. The inftance is one of the strongest that can be. It occurs in a simple historical narrative in prose. The verb is the 1st person pl. of the suture in Kal. in which the final n, in the verbs, quiescent lamed n, to the best of my recollection, never is quiescent. The verb is transitive. Its object is the detached pronoun masc. of the 3d person plur, with a prefix; so that the final n can be nothing but radical.

Hence, I think, we may conclude, that the verb intit, in this place, is not, indeed, for me, but for intit (or rather intit); for so the verb intit, according to the rule of conjugation of the verbs quiescent lamed in, should form the 3d pers. pl. præt. Kal.) in the sense of intitit renders literally, not by a metaphor, as Schultens imagined, "have spoiled."

only lafe way of dealing with difficult and doubtin

Perhaps,

Perhaps if we knew the laws of the Hebrew profody, as accurately as we know those of the Greek and Latin; we should see, that the change of the sinter is by a poetic dialect, on account of the verse. I must observe, however, that ma is found in this place in one of Kennicott's MSS, mentioned by Bishop Lowth, and in three of De Rossi's. "Onnes, says De Rossi, speak-"ing of his three, priori manu, forma regulari." If this should be received as the true reading, which would be contrary to my judgement, Schultens's difficulty would disappear, and any solution of it would be unnecessary.

Believe me, my dear Sir, it is not from any ambition to make a display of critical learning (which of all learning that a man may possess, I hold to be of itself, and for its own sake, of the least value) that I have run into so great a length of discussion upon a single word. But from a conviction, that this is the only safe way of dealing with difficult and doubtfull passages

Some, perhaps, would ask me, is it necespassages. fary to the understanding of the prophecies, that all the obscurities and ambiguities should be thus discussed? Certainly not for the understanding of them. Many may be capable of understanding the sense, once found out, of receiving it upon the credit of the expositor, to whom the detail of the process of investigation will give little light. Nor is it necessary, to a right understanding of the general sense of the prophecies, that every particular text should be understood. But for the explication, for the finding out of the fense where it is doubtfull; I would answer decidedly, that every obscure passage must be thus diffected, and every unusual word thus sifted. I need not say to you (for no) one, I am perswaded, hath an higher reverence for the facred text, or a deeper sense of its importance) that it is the language of inspired writers, on which we bestow so much time and labour; and if any one thinks it too much, he may be a humble hearer of the word, but let him not presume to meddle with the office of interpretation. Manufacture IA and mort navig pallages. Some, perhaps, would alk me, is it neces-

With respect to this particular passage, I shall venture to conclude, that the English translation gives the true rendering of the original words; that the original expresses the spoiling of inundation, not by a metaphor, but literally; and, with the greatest deserence for the judgement of my late friend Bishop Lowth, that there is no room, in this passage, for conjectural interpretions.

every particular text should be underflood. But for

Perhaps you will tell me, that when I speak of the unanimous consent of all interpreters, before Bates and Bishop Lowth, in the sense of this passage which I uphold (I speak of the literal meaning of the words) I ought to qualify the affertion, with an exception with respect to the LXX. whose version, from the varieties of the MSS, you may think in some degree doubtfull. But upon the maturest consideration, I see no reason to think, that their version of this clause differed from that of all other interpreters. Their text, as it is given from the Alexandrian MS. in the London Polyglotte

glott is, indeed, wholly unintelligible. It is equally so in the Roman edition, from the Vatican MS. A version, so depraved by the injuries of time, or other causes, as to be unintelligible, is to be considered as neutral; or as conducing nothing to the choice of the critic, between two different meanings. But in Breitenger's edition, the text is given thus: ε δίηςπασαν οί πολαμοί της γης πάνλες, the two words, & διήςπασαν, being marked indeed as infertions; the one, of the editor from other MSS; the other, of the Hexaplar edition, as cited by early writers. In the margin of Froben's edition of St. Jerome, printed at Bafle, under the patronage of Leo X. in the year 1516, in a note which I guess to be of Erasmus, I find the passage given fomewhat differently, thus: & διήρπασαν νον οἱ πολαμοὶ τῆς γης ταίνες: where the pronoun orehearses έθνος. I have no doubt that one or other of these is the true text of the LXX; and in either way it gives the very fame fense, which, in agreement with all interpreters, antient and modern, is expressed in our English Bible-" whose land the rivers have spoiled."

L 2

"Rivers,"

"Rivers," i. e. the armies of conquerors, which long fince have spoiled the land of the Jews. And so the passage was understood by Jonathan; who, for the metaphor "rivers," puts, what he understood to be denoted by it, "peoples." The inundation of rivers is a frequent image, in the prophetic stile, for the ravages of armies of foreign invaders. I must observe, however, that the inundation of rivers symbolizes the devastations of foreign armies only, not of intestine commotions; the outrages of invaders, not of intestine commotion; not the turbulence of the rabble, of any nation, rising in rebellion against their own government. It cannot, therefore, be applied to the ruin brought upon France, by the accursed spawn of Jacobins swarming out of her own bowels.

Thus it appears, that the description of the people, to whom the swift messengers are sent, agrees most accurately in every particular, with the character and condition of the Jews in their present state of dispersion.

alevia "

WE have now heard meffengers fummoned. We have heard a command given to them, to go swiftly with the message. We have heard the people described, to whom the message was to be carried. It might be expected, we should next hear the message given to the mesfengers in precife terms. Homer's Jupiter gives the lying spirit of the dream, the message, to be delivered to Agamemnnon, in precise terms; in which terms it is afterwards delivered. This we admire in the Epic poet; because, by the apparent sobriety and order of the narrative, he contrives to give palpable fiction the air of truth. Sacred truth is often delivered, by the holy prophets, in the loftieft strains of poetry, and in the boldest imagery, but without fiction. It needs, therefore, no fuch artificial colouring. This portion of Isaiah strikes me, as affording a remarkable contrast, in this particular, between the stile of facred and profane poetry. In prophecy, the curtain (if the expression may be allowed) is often fuddenly dropped upon the action that is going on, before it is finished; and the subject is continued in a shifted scene, as it were, of vision.

This I take to be a natural consequence of the manner, in which futurity was represented, in emblematical pictures, to the imagination of the prophet: and the breaks, and transitions, are more or less sudden, according to the natural turn of the writer's mind. For prophecy was a bufiness, in which the intellect of the man, under the controll of the inspiring spirit, had an active share; and accordingly the composition owes much of its colouring (but nothing more) to the natural genius and taste of the writer. And hence it is, that fuch a variety of stile is found in the works of the different authors of the Old Testament, all equally inspired. In Isaiah the transitions are remarkably sudden and bold; and yet this fuddenness and boldness of transition is seldom, I think, if ever, in him a cause of obscurity. In the present instance, the scene of messengers, sent upon a message, is suddenly closed with this fecond verse, before the messengers set out, before even the message is given to them. But the new objects, which are immediately brought in view, evidently represent, under the usual emblems of facred prophecy, other

other parts of the same entire action; and declare, with the greatest perspicuity, the purport, the season, and the effect of the meffage. An entign, or standard, is lifted up on the mountains—a trumpet is blown on the hills—the standard of the cross of Christ—the trumpet of the gospel. The resort to the standard, the effect of the fummons in the end, will be uni-A pruning of the vine thall take place, after a long suspension of visible interpositions of Providence, just before the season of the gathering of the fruits. Fowls of prey, and wild beafts, shall take possession of Jehovah's dwelling place. But at that very feafon, when the affairs of the church feem ruined and defperate, a sudden reverse shall take place. The people, to whom the message is sent, shall be conducted in pomp, as a present to Jehovah, to the place of his name, to Mount Zion millowb ym m robhnoo Hiw 1 22.

3 "——See ye——hear ye." These imperatives should be future indicatives. So the original words are taken by Vulg. Syr. and Chald. by Calvin, Junius and

and Tremellius; the English Geneva, and by Vitringa. The prophecy announces a display of God's power and providence, which should be notorious to the whole world; and particularly, I think, alludes to a renewed preaching of the Gospel, with great power and effect in the latter ags.

4. "For fo the Lord, &c. "gridled A

This verse seems to describe a long suspension of the visible interpositions of Providence, in the affairs of this world, and in favour of his people, under the image of that stillness and stagnation of the atmosphere, which takes place in the extreme heats of the latter end of summer.

long fulpention of vitible interpolitions of Providence.

——" I will confider in my dwelling place," rather with the margin, " I will regard my fet dwelling " place," or with Bishop Lowth, " I will regard my " fixed habitation." It is very extraordinary, that these verbs, " I will take my rest—I will consider"—are imperatives

pomp, as a prefent to Jehovali, to the place of his name

imperatives of the 2d perf. fing. in the Syriac: but they have not that form in the original; nor so taken will they give any sense consistent with the context.

The fentiment is, that, notwithstanding a long cefation of extraordinary manifestations of God's power, his providence is not asleep—he is all the while regarding the conduct, and the fortunes, of his people; he is not forgetful of his promises to his chosen people, but, though often by a silent and secret operation, is at all times directing every thing to their ultimate prosperity, and to the universal establishment of the true religion.

——" like a clear heat upon herbs," or according to the Margin and Bishop Lowth—after rain"—ילי אור But the word אור never signifies rain; for the text cited by Kimchi (Job. XXXVII. 11.) as an instance of this sense, is not at all to the purpose. The physiology of the book of Job lies much too deep for Kimchi's pene-

ber, ever fignify "herbs." The fort of heat, deferibed in this paffage, never follows rain, but frequently precedes it. The particle by denotes only close proximity. Applied, therefore, to time, it may as well express the moment just before, as the moment just after. The word of in Job XXXVII. 3. certainly fignifies lightning. It will bear the same sense in the 11th verse of the same chapter. It signifies lightning, Hab. III. 4. and Hos. VI. 5. And the sense of lightning will very well apply in this place. For the heat, which the prophet describes, is of that fort which precedes a thunder storm.

——" a cloud of dew." This still heat is often accompanied with a moisture of the atmosphere, and always with a clouded sky.

^{-- &}quot;in the heat of harvest." For and, "in the heat," several respectable MSS. of Kennicott's collation, and

and others of De Rossi's, have "in the day of "harvest." And this sense is certainly expressed in the versions of the Syr. LXX. and Vulg. But the received reading gives so clear and strong a sense, that I prefer it.

5-" and take away and cut down."

thoots and brancace of a vince. It wine, in the me

—"cut down"—in. The word occurs in this place only. Instead of a verb in Hiphil, from the root in, I would take it as a noun substantive, the name of some lopping instrument, with a prefixed, and the nominative case of the verb ... This both simplifies the construction; and, by introducing a noun corresponding with more produces a parallelism, between this and the preceding hemistick, which otherwise is wanting. The word is so taken in the Great Bible.—"and he shall cut downe the increace "with sythes, and the braunches shall be taken awaye "with hokes."

-- " fprigs-branches-" הולולים. These words express, not simply sprigs and branches, but "useless shoots," "luxuriant branches," which bear no fruit, and weaken the plant; and properly fuch shoots and branches of a vine. A vine, in the prophetic language, is an image of the church of God; the branches of the vine are the members of the church; and the useless shoots, and unfruitful luxuriant branches, are the infincere nominal members of the church. And the pruning, of fuch shoots and branches of the vine, is the excision of such false hypocritical professors, at least the separation of them from the church, by God's judgements. This verse therefore, and the following, clearly predict a judgement to fall upon the church for its purification, and the utter destruction of hypocritical professors of the truth. It is remarkable, that the object of this mystical pruning is not named; otherwise, than as the species of the tree is implied in the names given to the branches. The reason of this may be, that the Israelites in particular having been often fignified in prophecy, under the image of the vine; so long as they in particular formed the whole of God's visible church on earth; to have named the vine expressly might have given them occasion, to appropriate this part of the prophecy to themselves. Whereas it is another vine, that will be the object of this pruning, as is evident from the season fixed for this visitation.

The feafon is fixed in the beginning of this verse. "For afore the harvest, &c." This pruning will immediately precede the harvest, and the in-gathering. The seafon of the harvest, and of the gathering of the fruit, is the prophetic image of that period, when our Lord will send forth his angels, to gather his elect from the four winds of Heaven: of that period, when a renewed preaching of the Gospel shall take place, in all parts of the world; of which, the conversion of the Jews will perhaps be the first effect. The purification of the Christian Church, by the aweful visitations predicted

dicted in this passage, seems to be the proper preparative for this renewal of the call, to them that are near, the Jews; and to them that are yet afar off, the Gentile tribes not yet converted.

- 6. "They shall be left together," &c.
- i. e. The shoots and branches, cut off as unfruitfull and useless, shall be left.

"them." The pronoun of the 3d person in the original is singular—"it." and is very properly rendered by the singular pronoun by Vulg. Syr. Calvin, Junius and Tremellius, in the Great Bible, the Bishops Bible, the English Geneva Bible; by Vitringa, Houbigant, and Bishop Lowth. But the greater part of these interpreters expound this singular pronoun, as if in sense it were collective; which brings the passage to the same meaning, as if it were plural. But the true antecedent of this singular pronoun, in the original, is the word "dwelling "dwelling

"dwelling place," in v. 4. Which dwelling place may be understood literally of Mount Sion. It was a prevailing opinion, in the primitive ages, that Antichrist's last exploit would be, to fix his seat of empire on that holy spot, where he would ultimately perish. To those, to whom the prophetic stile, in the original language, is not familiar, but to those, I think, only; it will appear strange, that a pronoun should refer to an antecedent at so great a distance.

7. " In that time shall the present be brought, &c."

"In that time." Immediately after this purgation of the church, at the very time when the Bird of prey, with all the beafts of the earth, Antichrift with his rebel rout, shall have fixed his feat between the seas, in the holy mountain; "a present shall be brought," &c. the nation, described in v. 2. as those to whom the swift messengers are sent, after their long insidelity, shall be brought as a present unto Jehovah (compare LXVI. 20.) They shall be converted

to the acknowledgement of the truth, and they shall be brought to the place of the name of Jehovah, to Mount Sion: they shall be settled, in peace and prosperity, in the land of their original inheritance.

This then is the fum of this prophecy, and the fubstance of the message, sent to the people dragged about and pluckt. That in the latter ages, after a long fufpension of the visible interpositions of Providence, God, who all the while regards that dwelling place, which he never will abandon, and is, at all times directing the events of the world to the accomplishment of his own purpofes of Wisdom and Mercy; immediately before the final gathering of his elect from the four winds of Heaven, will purify his church, by fuch fignal judgements, as shall rouse the attention of the whole world, and, in the end, strike all nations with religious awe. At this period the apostate faction will occupy the Holy Land. This faction will certainly be an instrument of those judgements, by which the church

church will be purified. That purification, therefore, is not at all inconfishent with the seeming prosperity of the affairs of the atheistical confederacy. But, after such duration, as God shall see sit to allow, to the plenitude of its power; the Jews, converted to the faith of Christ, will be unexpectedly restored to their antient possessions.

Those who that thus be the infirments

The swift messengers will certainly have a considerable share, as instruments in the hand of God, in the restoration of the chosen people. Otherwise, to what purpose are they called upon (v. 1.) to receive their commission from the prophet? It will perhaps be some part of their business, to afford the Jews the affistance and protection of their sleets. This seems to be infinuated in the imagery of the 1st verse. But the principal part, they will have to act, will be that of the carriers of God's message to his people. This character seems to describe some Christian country, where the prophecies, relating to the latter ages,

o will

will meet with particular attention; where the literal fense of those, which promise the restoration of the Jewish people, will be strenuously upheld: and where these will be so successfully expounded, as to be the principal means, by God's blessing, of removing the veil from the hearts of the Israelites.

Those, who shall thus be the instruments of this blessed work, may well be described, in the sigured language of prophecy, as the carriers of God's message to his people. The situation of the country, destined to so high an office, is not otherwise described in the prophecy, than by this circumstance; that it is "be-"yond the rivers of Cush." That is far to the west of Judæa, if these rivers of Cush are to be understood, as they have been generally understood, of the Nile and other Ethiopian rivers; far to the East, if of the Tigris and Euphrates. The one, or the other, they must denote; but which, is uncertain.—It will be natural to ask, of what importance is this circumstance

thing, is a geographical character, and yet leaves the particular fituation fo much undetermined, that we know not, in what quarter of the world to look for the country intended, whether in the East Indies, or in the western parts of Africa or Europe, or in America? I answer, that the full importance of this circumstance will not appear, till the completion of the prophecy shall discover it. But it had, as I conceive, a temporary importance, at the time of the delivery of the prophecy; namely, that it excluded Egypt.

The Jews of Isaiah's time, by a perverse policy, were upon all occasions courting the alliance of the Egyptians, in opposition to God's express injunctions, by his prophets, to the contrary.—Isaiah, therefore, as if he would discourage the hope of aid from Egypt at any time, tells them, that the foreign alliance, which God prepares for them in the latter times, is not that of Egypt, which he teaches them at all times to renounce

N 2

and

and to despise, but that of a country far remote: as every country must be, that lies either west of the Nile, or east of the Tigris.

I shall now sum up the result of these long disquisitions in a translation of the prophecy, illustrated with short notes.

will not appear, till the completion of the emplete

field discover at a fact If had, as I concern a reso-

powers importance, at the firm of modeliners of the

, voides encicles at sant d'abien le audit of E

appear all occarions coursing the alliance of the Egyptians

in appoint or to God's express in unchange by ins just

in the statement many bis to a of the speciality.

tode there, which remains aliance, which God.

sevel below it and winder the it ended by

fact of black at it to recomp talk in Jon word

ISAIAH, CHAP. XVIII.

a. Accultomed to fend mellengers by fea,

- I. Ho! Land spredding wide the shadow of (thy) wings, which art beyond the rivers of Cush.
- i. e. Affording aid and protection to friends and allies in remote countries.
- The land of Cush in Holy Writ (commonly, but by mistake, rendered Ethiopia) is properly that district of Arabia, where the sons of Cush first settled. But, as this race multiplied exceedingly, and spread, not only into other parts of Arabia, but eastward, round the head of the Persian Gulph, to the confines of Susiana; and westward, across the Arabian Gulph, into the region since called Abyssinia, which extended along the coast from Ptolemais to Arsinöe, and inland to the very sources of the Nile: the land of Cush is often taken more largely for a great tract of country, not only comprehending the whole of Arabia Felix, but having for its eastern boundary the branch of the Tigris, below the town of Asia, and for its western boundary the Nile. The rivers of Cush, in this place, may be either the Euphrates and the Tigris, on the east; or the Nile, the Astaboras, and the Astapus, on the west. But which of these are meant, it must be left for time to shew.

2. Accustomed

2. Accustomed to send 'messengers by sea,
Even in bulrush-vessels', upon the surface of the
waters!

Go swift messengers 3,

Unto a nation dragged away and plucked,

otnU , which art beyond the rivers of Call.

- " "Accustomed to send." The form of the expression in the original signifies, not a single act of sending once, but the habit of sending perpetually.
- ² Sending by sea, in bulrush vessels, is a figurative expression; descriptive of skill in navigation, and of the safety and expedition, with which the inhabitants, of the land called to, are supposed to perform distant voyages.
- "Go swift messengers"—You, who, by your skill in navigation and your extensive commerce and alliances, are so well qualified to be carriers of a message to people in the remotest corners, Go with God's message.—
- * Unto a nation, &c. viz. To the difperfed Jews; a nation dragged away from its proper feat, and plucked of its wealth and power; a people wonderful, from the beginning to this very time, for the special providence which ever has attended them, and directed their fortunes; a nation still lingering

Unto a people wonderful from their beginning hitherto,

A nation expecting, expecting, and trampled under foot,

Whose land rivers have spoiled.

3. All the inhabitants of the world, and dwellers upon earth

Shall fee the lifting up, as it were, of a banner in upon the mountains

And shall hear the founding as it were of a trumpet '.

operations. The vigilance nevertheless of God's filent provide

lingering in expectation of the Messiah, who so long since came, and was rejected by them, and now is coming again in glory; a nation universally trampled under foot; whose land, "rivers," armies of foreign invaders, the Assyrians, Babylonians, Syromacedonians, Romans, Saracens, and Turks, have over-run and depopulated.

""A banner—a trumpet." The banner of the cross, to be lifted up more conspicuously, than ever before; the trumpet of the Gospel, to be sounded more loudly, than ever before, in the latter ages.

Unto a people wonderful from their beginning

4. For thus faith Jehovah unto me:

I will fit still ' (but I will keep my eye upon my prepared habitation.)

Whole land rivers have fpoiled. 1 This 4th verse represents a long ceffation of visible interpositions of providence, under the image of God's fitting still; the stillness of that awefull pause, under the image of that torpid state of the atmosphere, in hot weather, when not a gleam of fun-shine breaks for a moment through the fullen gloom; not a breath stirs; not a leaf wags; not a blade of grass is shaken; no ripling wave curls upon the sleeping surface of the waters; the black ponderous cloud, covering the whole fky, feems to hang fixed and motionless as an arch of stone, Nature seems benumbed in all her operations. The vigilance nevertheless of God's filent providence, is represented under the image of his keeping his eye, while he thus fits still, upon his prepared habitation. The sudden eruption of judgement, threatened in the next verse, after this total ceffation, just before the final call to Jew and Gentile, answers to the storms of thunder and lightning, which, in the fuffocating heats of the latter end of fummer, fucceed that perfect stillness and stagnation of the atmosphere. And as the natural thunder, at fuch feafons, is the welcome harbinger of refreshing and copious showers; so, it appears, the thunder of God's judgements will usher in the long defired season of the consummation of Mercy. So accurate is the allufion in all its parts.

hitherto,

As the dewy cloud in the heat of harvest.

gnimos si bud ah new 'favrent hall be led noifost of that feafon a prefent shall be led noifost of that feafon a prefent shall be led noifost of that feafon a prefent shall be led noifost of the led noif

And all beafts of the earth upon it shall winter.

And the bloffom is become a juicy berry,

He will cut off the useless shoots with pruning hooks

And the bill shall take away the luxuriant branches.

6. They shall be left together to the bird of prey of the mountains,
belief and even are build and who had belief together to the bird of prey of the mountains,

The harvest is the constant image of that season, when God shall gather his elect from the four winds of heaven—reap the field of the world—gather his wheat into his barns, and burn up the chaff with unquenchable fire. Images, which relate not to the translation of the just to heaven, and the burning of the wicked in hell; but to the placing of the faithfull in a state of peace and security on earth, and to the excision of the incorrigible of the irreligious faction.

God, in the later ages, will purify his church with fore but wholesome judgements. Compare John XV. 1. 2.

And

And to the beafts of the earth.

And upon it ' shall the bird of prey summer,

And all beafts of the earth upon it shall winter.

g. For afore the harvest; when the bud is commo-

7. At that season a present shall be ledde.

To Jehovah of hosts,

A people dragged away and plucked;

Even of a people wonderful from their beginning hitherto,

A nation expecting, expecting, and trampled under foot,

Whose land rivers have spoiled,

Unto the place of the name of Jehovah of Hosts, Mount Sion.

world-gather his wheat into his barns, and burn up the chaff with un-

* God, in the later ages, will purify his objects wide force

udrements. Compare John J

It was a prevailing opinion among the early fathers, that Antichrist is to possess himself of the Holy Land, and that there he is to perish.

² Compare If. LXVI. 20. and Zeph. III. 9. 10.

I MUST yet add a few words, to obviate a difficulty which may feem to prefs, with equal weight, your interpretation and my own. How, it may be asked, of us, is this prophecy, in your sense of it, or in mine, or in any sense, which applies it to the final restoration of the Jews, connected with what precedes, and follows, it in the context of the prophet? The burthen of Damas-cus precedes, the burthen of Egypt follows. The subversion of the kingdom of the Syrians of Damascus by the Assyrian; the detail of the judgements, which are to fall upon Egypt, in various periods of her history, from the time of the prophet downwards. With what coherence, is the final restoration of the Jews brought in between?

I answer, this prophecy is indeed a fort of episode, interrupting the regular order of the discourse, and yet not unnaturally introduced.

exclamation of furprise fill rendered " Woe to") gives

made estuden diszli isobeh a O 2 mabel

The

The burthen of Damascus, opened at the beginning of the 17th chapter, naturally brings the prophet to speak of the subversion of the kingdom of Israel, in those days in alliance with the Syrians; and to be overthrown, by the same enemy, at the same time. The prediction, of the subversion of the kingdom of Israel, leads the prophet to warn the Jewish people, in general, of the judgements that await them, with manifest allusion, in the 11th verse, as Casaubon has observed, to the final dispersion of the nation by the Romans. And the allufion to this final dispersion leads, as it almost always does, to a prediction of the final restoration. This is delivered generally in the 12th, 13th, and 14th verses of Chap. XVII. The prophet, by a sudden exclamation of furprize (ill rendered "Woe to") gives notice, that a new scene suddenly breaks upon him. He sees the armies of Antichrist rushing on in the full tide of conquest, and pouring like a deluge over the land of God's people (v. 12.) He no sooner sees them, than he declares that "God shall rebuke them,"

that

that they shall flee with precipitation and in dismay and " shall be chased, as the chaff of the mountains be-" fore the wind, and as a rolling thing before the "whirlwind." (v. 13). Elated with this glorious scene of the total rout of the apostate confederacy, he addresses his countrymen, in words of exultation and triumph: "This is the portion of them that spoil us, " and the lot of them that rob us." (v. 14). Having thus, in general terms, predicted the final fuccess and happiness of his nation, he proceeds, in the 18th Chapter, to the description of visions, more particularly declarative of the manner, and of the time, of their deliverance; which nevertheless leave much unexplained. In what people of the earth, of the eastern or the western world, the characters of the messenger-people may be found, when the time shall come for the accomplishment of the prophecy, is hitherto uncertain in that degree, that we are hardly at liberty, in my judgement, to conjecture. But I cannot but fay, that it feems in the highest degree improbable, that the atheistical democracy of France should be the people, for whom

the honour of that office is intended. The French democracy, from its infancy to the prefent moment, has been a confpicuous and principal branch at least of the western Antichrist. The messenger-people is certainly to be a Christian people. For, I think, it cannot be doubted, that the messenger-people, and the leaders of the present to Jehovah to Mount Sion, are the same people. And the act, of leading a present to Jehovah to Mount Sion, must be an act of worshippers of Jehovah; for it is an act of worship. They therefore, who lead the present, will be true worshippers, performing that service from religious motives. And as such they are most expressly described by the prophet Zephaniah, if I construe his words aright.

מעבר לנהרי כוש עתרי בת פוצי יובלון מנהתי

Zeph. III. 10.

I take עתרי to be the nominative of the verb transitive; and מנהתי and מנהתי, to be accusatives after it, in apposition. And I render the lines thus:

My worshippers, beyond the rivers of Cush,
Shall conduct, as an offering to me, the daughter of
my dispersion [i. e. my dispersed nation.]

Secretaria de pensio thonologo, bisigneras de protectos

Daniel bulktabanen bildu skurtivens serrie habitaatitett

I have an unfashionable partiality for the opinions of antiquity. I think, there is ground in the prophecies for the notion of the early fathers, that Palestine is the stage, on which Antichrist, in the height of his impiety, will perish. I am much inclined too to assent to another opinion of the fathers; that a small band of the Jews will join Antichrift, and be active instruments of his-perfecutions. And I agree with you, that it is not unlikely, that this small part of the Jews will be settled in Jerusalem, under the protection of Antichrist. But it is not to the settlement of this apostate band, that the prophecy of this 18th chapter relates. For I must observe, that, when the present offered consists of perfons, the offered, as well as the offerers, must be worshippers. For to be offered is to be made a worshipper; or, in some instances, to be devoted to some particular borsillo

particular fervice in which the general character of a worshipper is previously implied, both in the person who hath authority so to devote, and in the devoted; as in the instances of Jephtha's daughter, and the child Samuel. The people therefore, brought as a prefent to Jehovah to Mount Sion (if Mount Sion is to be taken literally, as, not from this passage by itself, but by the collation of this passage with many others, I think it is) will be brought thither in a converted state. The great body of the Jewish people will be converted previous to their reftoration; and, being converted, will be affifted, by Christian nations of the uncircumcifion, in fettling themselves in their antient seats. I agree with you, that some passages, in Zachariah in particular, make strongly for this notion of a previous settlement of worse than unconverted Jews. But I am not without hope, from the same passages, that the great body of the converted Jews returning will find those first fettlers, broken off from the Antichristian faction, in a state of deep contrition, and ready to receive their brethren with open arms. So the whole race shall be offered offered to Jehovah at Mount Sion, and not one of Israel shall be lost. And so far, but no farther, I can admit an inchoate restoration of the Jews, antecedent to their conversion; and a settlement of a small body of them, in the Holy Land, by the Antichristian powers. But this, I repeat it, is not the great subject to which the prophecy relates, the general restoration of the Jewish people; a business, in which the atheistical faction will have no share.

only precisions in well that The worlder believed

I would now conclude this long epiftle. But I cannot quit the subject, without declaring my sentiments on an important point, upon which much error is abroad. Indifference to the signs of the times is criminal. Misconstruction of them may be dangerous. I confess, I cannot discern any immediate signs of the fall of Antichrist. I fear, I see too clearly the rise, instead of the fall, of the Antichrist of the West. Or rather I fear, I see him rapidly advancing to full stature and ripe age. His rise, strictly speaking, the beginning of the monster, was in the apostolic age. For it were easy

P

to trace the pedigree of French Philosophy, Jacobinism, and Bavarian Illumination, up to the first herefies. But it is now we see the adolescence of that man of fin, or rather of lawleffness, who is to throw off all the reftraints of religion, morality, and cuftom, and undo the bands of civil fociety. That fon of perdition, who is to rife out of an apostacy—not a constructive apostacy; never understood to be such, by those to whom the guilt has been imputed: but an open undifguifed apostacy. That fon of perdition, who shall be neither a Protestant, nor a Papist; neither Christian, Jew, nor Heathen: who shall worship neither God, Angel, nor Saint-who will neither fupplicate the invisible Majesty of Heaven, nor fall down before an idol. He will magnify himself against every thing that is called God, or is worshipped; and, with a bold flight of impiety, foaring far above his precurfors and types in the times of paganism, the Sennacheribs, the Nebuchadnezzars, the Antiochus's, and the Heathen Emperors, will claim divine honours to himself exclufively, and confecrate an image of himself. I doubt

doubt not, but this monster will be made an instrument of that pruning, which the vine must undergo. I am afraid to fay, that the judgement will begin, or fall with the greatest feverity, in that part of the church which most needs purgation. For, when I consider the superstitions, introduced in the rites of worship, in some parts; the unwarrantable innovations, in the form of church government, in others; the relaxation of difcipline, the lukewarmness, the neglect and violation of the ordinary private duties, the frequent breach of the Ten Commandments, in those parts where the doctrine, the form of government, and the rites of public worship seem to me the most conformable to the model of the primitive ages; I am afraid to fay, which of the various branches of the church of Christ it is, in which the purgation may be the most needed. I see, therefore, nothing in the subversion of the antient monarchy of France, but what is cause of alarma to every government upon earth: nothing, in the fubversion of the Gallican church, but what is cause of alarm to every church in Christendom: nothing in

P 2

the

the fufferings of the aged Pope, which can be cause of exultation and joy, in the heart of any Christian: nothing in the indignities and infults, which have been put upon him by low-born miscreants, a disgrace to the reformed religion, which they profefs, but what should excite horror and indignation. But, though in all these things I see no cause of triumph to the reformed churches, but fuch fymptoms of judgement gone abroad, as should awaken all to repentance; lest all, who repent not, should likewise perish; yet I see nothing in the progress of the French arms, which any nation, fearing God, and worshipping the Son, should fear to resist. I see every thing, that should rouse all Christendom to a vigorous confederate resistance. I fee every thing, that should excite this country, in particular, to refift, and to take the lead in a confederacy of refistance, by all measures, which policy may fuggest, and the valour and the opulence of a great nation can fupply. Whether you agree with me in these sentiments, I know not. In this, I know, you will agree; that whether in peace or in war, the only

only fure anchor of hope, to any nation, is God's favour, and the only means of his favour, obedience to the gospel of his Son.

I remain,

My dear Sir,

With the most cordial regard and esteem,

Her all where " visuit," and, " and followight it to the feate of " drawhen.

Your affectionate and faithful humble fervant,

car to a tout to painting and four land "Assa "hasten S, ROFFENS:"

Page ? Side rolling for " redd, d.

Deanery, April 3d, 1799.

CORRECTIONS

favour, and the only means of his favour, electioned

only fure anchor of hope, to saw malen,

(goz)

Page 6, line 3, for " Syriac to," read, " Syriac, to."

Page 13, line 15, for " woefull," read, " woe, or."

Page 26, line 6, for " fr," read, " for."

Page 44, line 11, for " in the Bishop's Bible," read, " in the great Bible, and in the "Bishop's Bible."

Page 45, line 16, for " nor in the Bishop's Bible," read, " nor in the great Bible, nor " in the Bishop's Bible."

Page 62, line 15, for " fince," read, " fince?"

Page 64, line 16, for " I have," read, " But I have."

line ult, after " vomit," add, " and so brought it to the sense of " drunken."

Page 65, line 18, after "excepted" add, "and some one perhaps, or more, of the "unknown interpreters, whom Coverdale followed."

Rage 75, line 7 and 8, for 3, read, 3.

line 19, for " all," read, " almost all."

